

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/708,923	11/08/2000	Joshua Makower	TRNSV-001C	2656	
33197 7590 12/23/2003			EXAMINER		
-	A, BUYAN & MULLIN	ISABELLA, DAVID J			
4 VENTURE, IRVINE, CA	•		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
•			3738	7	
			DATE MAILED: 12/23/2003	()	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				A1:4(-)				
.•		Application No). •	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence		09/708,923		MAKOWER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		DAVID J ISABE		3738				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears on the cov	ər sneet with the C	orrespondence address				
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC sions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply very lepty received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, hount in the statutory of the statute. Cause the application will by statute. Cause the application of the statute.	wever, may a reply be tirn ninimum of thirty (30) days to SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicatio D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ın.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>27 October 2003</u> .						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 21	o)⊠ This action is non-fir	ıal.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□								
•	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) of one of the drawing (s) be he the correction is required if	ld in abeyance. See the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121((d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 								
Attachmen		۰,۲	T Interview Summer	(PTO 413) Papar Na/a)				
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pr	TO-948) 5) [Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3738

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Claims 1-3,9,16-19 in Paper No. 12 is acknowledged.

Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3,9,16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Venbrux (5443497).

Venbrux discloses a method for revasularizing including the steps fo locating a first location on a blood vessel (either artery or vein) and a second location on the same vessel and forming an extravascular passageway between the first and second location.

Claim 3, Venbrux discloses that the bypass may be relegated to the same vessel.

Application/Control Number: 09/708,923 Page 3

Art Unit: 3738

Claim 9, the bypass graft is inserted at a first location upstream of an obstruction and the second location is downstream from the obstruction.

Claim 16, as worded does not define over the method of Venbrux.

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 16,18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1,16-18 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6655386. This is a double patenting rejection.

The language of the claims is identical to that found in prior US Patent No. 6655386.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225

Art Unit: 3738

USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 17 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 19 and 25 of U.S. Patent No. 6655386. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the additional step of blocking the vessel has no bearing on the use of a tissue penetrating element to pierce the vessel wall to provide for a extravascular passageway. This step may be used to form an extravascular passageway in an arterial bypass procedure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID J ISABELLA whose telephone number is 703-308-3060. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-FRIDAY.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CORRINE MCDERMOTT can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/708,923

Art Unit: 3738

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

> DAVID J ISABELLA Primary Examiner Art Unit 3738

DJI **DECEMBER 18, 2003**